



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application of:
DONG & TRADEMARK OFFICE

Serial No.: 08/458,479

Filed: 6/2/95

For: *Address Translation Method and
Mechanism Using Physical Address
Information Including During A
Segmentation Process*

) Art Unit: 2303 2309
)
) Examiner: Nguyen, T.

AM-6 loc

RAB 95-001

98 MAY 29 PM 2:12
GROUP 2700

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

98 JUN 15 AM 10:21
GROUP 2700

RECEIVED

11 11 1998
Corres/ Publishing Division
Corres/Allowed Files (02)

RECEIVED

MAR 11 1998


Publishing Division
Corres/Allowed Files (02)

Dear Sir:

The Examiner's most recent Office Action dated September 2, 1997 indicates that the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitted by the Applicant on August 7, 1997 had been received but not considered because a petition, fee, and appropriate certification had not been provided at that time. Accordingly, in response to the Examiner's comments, Applicant hereby petitions pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.97 [d] and 1.97[e] for the consideration of the IDS previously submitted on August 7, 1997.

The issue fee for this case has NOT been paid, but is due for payment on 12/12/97. As

10/01/1997 is the last day to pay the issue fee is rapidly approaching, timely consideration of the present
01 FC:122 130.00 OP
petition is also requested.



BASIS FOR PETITION – CERTIFICATION UNDER 1.97(E)

As required by 1.97[d] (1) and 1.97[e](2), the undersigned hereby certifies that to the best of his knowledge after conducting a reasonable inquiry, none of the references cited in the aforementioned IDS were known to any individual designated under 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the IDS.


Accordingly, Applicant has met the requirements of 1.97 [d](1), and thereby petitions under 1.97[d](2) for consideration of the IDS and accompanying references. Applicant submits that the application is entitled to and should receive the full benefit of the Examiner's review in light of all known potentially relevant references, and on this basis requests such review. Nevertheless, for the reasons set out in detail in Applicant's August 7 amendment discussing these newly discovered references, Applicant further submits that the allowed claims distinguish over such references as well. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests the issuance of a Supplemental Notice of Allowance that considers the aforementioned references.

PETITION FEES

Pursuant to 1.97[d](3), the petition fee 37 CFR 1.17(i), \$130 has been paid with the enclosed check.

September 22, 1997

Respectfully submitted,


J. Nicholas Gross, Attorney, Reg. No. 34,175
Law+

98 JUN 15 AM 10:21
GROUP 2700

RECEIVED

I hereby certify that the foregoing is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service, postage prepaid, to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, this 22nd day of September 1997.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:) Art Unit: 2303
Belgard, R.)
Serial No.: 08/458,479)
Filed: 6/2/95)
For: *Address Translation Method and*)
Mechanism Using Physical Address)
Information Including During A)
Segmentation Process)

Petition for Consideration of
Information Disclosure Statement (37 CFR 1.97 [D])

Honorable Commissioner
of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

The Examiner's most recent Office Action dated September 2, 1997 indicates that the Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) submitted by the Applicant on August 7, 1997 had been received but not considered because a petition, fee, and appropriate certification had not been provided at that time. Accordingly, in response to the Examiner's comments, Applicant hereby petitions pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.97 [d] and 1.97[e] for the consideration of the IDS previously submitted on August 7, 1997.

The issue fee for this case has NOT been paid, but is due for payment on 12/12/97. As the time for paying the issue fee is rapidly approaching, timely consideration of the present petition is also requested.